# **Consultation on the Children (Abolition of Defence of Reasonable Punishment) (Wales) Bill**

Tystiolaeth i'r <b>Pwyllgor Plant, Pobl Ifanc ac</b>	Evidence submitted to the <b>Children, Young</b>
Addysg ar gyfer craffu Cyfnod 1 Bil Plant	People and Education Committee for
(Diddymu Amddiffyniad Cosb Resymol)	Stage 1 scrutiny of the <b>Children (Abolition</b>
(Cymru)	of Defence of Reasonable Punishment)
	(Wales) Bill
CADRP-590	CADRP-590

### About you

Individual

#### **1** The Bill's general principles

**1.1** Do you support the principles of the Children (Abolition of Defence of Reasonable Punishment) (Wales) Bill?

— Yes

#### **1.2** Please outline your reasons for your answer to question **1.1**

(we would be grateful if you could keep your answer to around 1000 words)

Adults are protected from assault under the law and children should have exactly the same rights - arguably even greater rights as they are more vulnerable. The UNCRC says that physical punishment is against a child's human rights, and our law should reflect this.

# **1.3** Do you think there is a need for legislation to deliver what this Bill is trying to achieve?

(we would be grateful if you could keep your answer to around 1000 words)

Yes, the law needs to be clear that children have the same rights as adults, and that anyone inflicting any sort of physical harm on children will face consequences under the law.

#### 2 The Bill's implementation

2.1 Do you have any comments about any potential barriers to implementing the Bill? If no, go to question 3.1

(we would be grateful if you could keep your answer to around 1000 words)

I support the need for a publicity campaign explaining what this change in law will mean in practice for parents, and additional parental support to understand and implement the changes through schemes such as Flying Start.

#### 2.2 Do you think the Bill takes account of these potential barriers?

(we would be grateful if you could keep your answer to around 1000 words)

Yes

# **3 Unintended consequences**

3.1 Do you think there are there any unintended consequences arising from the Bill? If no, go to question 4.1

(we would be grateful if you could keep your answer to around 1000 words)

No

## **4** Financial implications

# 4.1 Do you have any comments on the financial implications of the Bill (as set out in Part 2 of the Explanatory Memorandum)? If no, go to question 5.1

(we would be grateful if you could keep your answer to around 1000 words)

No

## **5** Other considerations

#### 5.1 Do you have any other points you wish to raise about this Bill?

(we would be grateful if you could keep your answer to around 1000 words)

The defence of 'reasonable chastisement' is an extremely grey area, and should be removed. There shouldn't be any circumstance under which hitting a child is OK. Let's follow our forward-thinking neighbours in Scotland and nearly every other country in Europe.