Dear Nick,

I welcome the opportunity to provide a contribution into the Inquiry by the Public Accounts Committee on the Well-being of Future Generations Act, specifically focusing on barriers to implementation. I look forward to giving evidence in person on 1st February 2021.

Ahead of that session I thought it would be useful to share with the Committee the findings from my statutory monitoring and assessing and Future Generations Report which are relevant to the Inquiry’s focus. Specifically:

1. **My findings around changing our public sector culture** – relevant to your focus on barriers to successful implementation of the Act and the leadership role of Welsh Government.

2. **Reflections on progress by public body sector** – relevant to your focus on how to ensure that the Act is implemented successfully in the future.

3. **Progress made towards the seven well-being goals** – relevant to your focus on how to ensure that the Act is implemented successfully in the future.

4. **Challenges and Opportunities** – relevant to your focus on understanding of the Act and its implications, and the support provided to public bodies.

**1. Changing our public sector culture**

The Well-being of Future Generations Act is the greatest cultural change programme the Welsh public sector has ever experienced. Any cultural change takes time, but changes of the magnitude envisaged by the Act will inevitably mean that this is a long-term mission rather than a short-term fix – or as I often describe it, an expedition rather than a journey.

My role gives me a ‘helicopter view’ of how the 44 public bodies, as well as other public, voluntary and private sector bodies are applying the Act, and it’s clear that no one has ‘cracked’ the Act across all of its legal requirements and aspirations. Progress is being made in certain areas, but there are areas for improvement too, as one would expect.

**General Progress**

- There has been a marked change in political commitment to the Act and in resulting policy decisions but there is some lag in the system.

- Every public body is doing something different in response to the Act, but not all are approaching it in the same way.
• Organisations are considering the long-term more than ever before, but the whole system needs to be thinking and acting for the long-term.

• The Act is providing a helpful tool for change makers to challenge the system. I am pleased to play a role in challenging blockers. I do not have the resources to deal with all of these challenges however, and there needs to be greater funding for capacity, leadership development and support transformational change.

Innovation

The Act is bringing about some excellent innovation. I am seeing a growing movement of change, with people daring to deliver differently to improve economic, cultural, social, and environmental well-being. We need to ramp-up how these are shared, mainstreamed, and supported by funding governance and performance management.

Cardiff Council is leading the way by setting out progressive targets and plans for active travel. A public health consultant was seconded from the health board to the council to lead on the transportation strategy. When you apply a public health lens to a transport problem, you get a different set of solutions. The Council has published a Transport White Paper, prioritising clean air and instigating a shift from private car travel to walking, cycling and public transport. They have also worked with parents to pilot a car ban in five primary schools.

The public institutions in Cardiff have realized that between them, they were employing 30,000 people in Cardiff, so they’re now incentivizing their employees to travel sustainably through an Active Travel Charter. In order for the Council to reach its cycling and walking target of 43% by 2030, there has been a tenfold increase in investment in safe routes to cycle and to walk, and they’ve targeted that cycling and walking infrastructure towards those neighborhoods who have the highest level of air pollution and the lowest life expectancy.

Doctors can now issue prescriptions for free bike hire for those who would benefit from increasing their physical activity. And when Cardiff Council constructed our cycling infrastructure, they’ve also built in sustainable drainage, taking away over 40,000 cubic meters of water from an unsustainable drainage system through nature-based solutions. And in doing that, they’ve created sites for nature, we’ve cleaned and greened communities, and we’ve transformed concrete jungles. And when you travel from this area to our city center, you’ll be met with areas which are closed off to traffic, where people can meet and businesses can trade outside the splendor of our medieval castle.

Integration

The five ways of working are intrinsically linked. I agree with the Auditor General for Wales when he says that integration is a precursor to effective collaboration and can facilitate a system-wide response, which enables public bodies to work preventatively. There are encouraging examples of public bodies demonstrating integrated thinking, such as setting well-being objectives on transport and linking this to objectives and steps on meeting carbon emission targets, improving physical health through active travel, meeting carbon emission targets, using local materials and labour and more.
However, opportunities are being missed to connect areas of work to achieve multiple benefits across the well-being goals. I have recommended integrated approaches within many areas of focus because, for example, several public bodies have objectives relating to housing but have yet to make the connections to the significant impact of poor housing on physical and mental health (poor housing in Wales is estimated to cost the NHS £95m per year).

For example, whilst the new Planning Policy Wales 10 recognises the impact of land use planning on well-being, in initial reporting Welsh Government have not recognised the far-reaching impact of the policy to help them meet their other well-being objectives and steps. Placemaking is crucial to meet their objectives like ‘Deliver modern and connected infrastructure’ and ‘Build healthier communities and better environments’, but the most recent Annual Report (2019) has not recognised Planning Policy 10 as a major reform in this respect.

Likewise, one public body has set an objective to have better connected communities and another objective to improve the environment, supporting health and well-being. These objectives were not initially integrated, with the public body seeking to improve road infrastructure in order to connect communities at a cost to their objective to improve the environment. Had the public body fully considered integrating their objectives and the ‘double test’ of the Act (see below), they would have considered different steps and solutions.

Another example can be seen with Creative Wales. While its establishment by Welsh Government is very welcome, its plan, Priorities for the Creative Industries in Wales, does not make any reference to meeting the aspirations of the Well-being of Future Generations Act, potentially missing an opportunity to harness the power of the creative sector in meeting several challenges.

While culture is one of our most powerful tools for change and has played a vital role for raising awareness around climate change, A Low Carbon Wales includes little reference to culture and how it can be harnessed to help meet decarbonisation targets. I believe that any future action, and especially action aimed at behavioural change to tackle the climate emergency and natural crisis, should take cultural interventions into account and should work in collaboration with the cultural sector to inspire positive change.

Welsh Government’s strategy ‘A Healthier Wales: long term plan for health and social care’ is another such example. The strategy is a definite step in the right direction, as it says it has a ‘vision of a whole system approach to health and social care, which is focused on health and well-being, and on preventing illness.’ However, the actions set out in the strategy seem to miss the links that can be made with the wider determinants of health, alongside recognising the need for a whole system approach to keeping people well.

Powys Council have a step under their objective on increasing housing to encourage the use of local, sustainable timber for new council and housing association projects.
Insight

Whilst partnership and collaboration have improved, public bodies need to explore more comprehensively the information and intelligence held by organisations and groups beyond the most obvious partners. Decisions should also be informed by insight on future trends.

Implementation

Most public bodies are making progress on implementing the Act but in different ways - some are delivering the 'what' and some are delivering the 'how'. There is further work needed to fully demonstrate the ‘double test’ of the Act – applying both. ‘What’ you decide to do should use the five ways of working to find the solution that best contributes to all your local well-being objectives and the national goals. But ‘how’ you deliver that solution must also use the ways of working and seek to contribute to the goals.

An example of where a public body has considered the ‘what’ but not fully considered the ‘how’ includes the initial criteria for the Welsh Government Housing Innovation Fund. The fund was established as a solution to increase housing stock that was fit for the future but in implementing the ‘what’ (i.e. the fund), Government did not fully consider the ‘how’ in its delivery. For the first few rounds of funding, bidders were asked to demonstrate contribution to just one of the seven national well-being goals. This meant an opportunity was missed in seeking to fund housing that was more innovative, sustainable, contributing to several aspects of well-being – rather than just building homes. Welsh Government have taken on board my advice with respect to this programme and bidders are now asked ‘how’ they will contribute to all goals.

It is positive that some public service leaders have demonstrated clear senior-level commitment to embed the new ways of working throughout the organisation rather than just within a person or team who ‘does the Act’ on everyone’s behalf.

My analysis of implementation loosely categorised the 44 public bodies into the following four groups, illustrated by the graphic below:

1) The Planners: Organisations that have started with their corporate planning and strategies, set a strategic direction but are at different stages of changing their culture, delivering differently and demonstrating progress. Some of these organisations have funded dedicated resources, training and staff to encourage change.

2) The (sometimes lone) Innovators: Organisations who have sometimes struggled to fit the corporate direction into their well-being duties but are thinking and delivering differently because of the Act. These can be pockets of individuals or teams who are seeking to change culture, sometimes against the corporate centre reluctant to transform. These organisations typically ‘undersell’ themselves because they struggle to align corporately and affect wider change across the organisation.

3) Believers and Achievers: Organisations where there are examples of innovative practice, change makers and champions of the Act – sometimes daring to deliver differently against ingrained culture, other times fully supported by leaders. Public bodies should be in this space. There are many examples of individuals and teams who understand using the Act as a framework for change, but the pockets of innovation vary from place to place.
4) **The Overwhelmed and / or Overconfident:** Organisations who are either overwhelmed through responding to perceived and real crises and those who see the Act as a side-line to their core business or believe they have already ‘cracked’ the Act.

**Barriers**

Despite the solid start, there are several systemic barriers to progress:

**There is an implementation gap between the aspiration set out by Welsh Government in policy and legislation and their commitment to drive and resource delivery on the ground.**

The Act provides ways of working and, therefore, it spans all areas of legislation, policy-making, decision-making and delivery. Contributing to the broader challenge of implementation, there is a myriad of existing ways of working in place that do not embed the Act. Therefore, there is a dual challenge in Welsh Government’s leadership role to ensure new policies and legislation reflect the Act and existing information is updated.

While new policies and legislation are showing promise, Welsh Government have an overly optimistic view of what it takes to implement these. As a result, the cultural change required has been under resourced.

As well as resourcing the introduction of legislation, policy and guidance, Welsh Government need to fund their implementation, including delivery capability, awareness raising, training and robust monitoring. Additional investment is needed to get every organisation to the ‘Believers and Achiever’s’ category (see above). Assessment of the resources required for cultural change should be built in from the start. When the Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act 2014 was introduced the Social Care Workforce Development Programme (which totaled £12,015,714 in 2012-13) was redirected to ensure “relevant staff receive the training they need throughout the preparation for, and implementation of, the Act.” And up until 2012-13, an additional £1.5m had been made available to local authorities and their partners to build capacity locally and to begin to prepare for implementation of this Act. As well as this funding, other funding streams such as the Intermediate Care Fund
(now Integrated Care Fund) and Transformation Fund has been channeled towards implementing the Social Services and Well-being Act. (ICF was £50m in 2014/15. Latest figures suggest £129m for ICF and £50m for TF from 2020-2022 across Wales).

No such costs were outlined within the Regulatory Impact Assessment for the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act. There are numerous occasions when I have advised Ministers and civil servants of this implementation gap. For example, the Wales Transport Appraisal Guidance was updated in 2017 in line with the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act. Still, there has been a lack of resources and training for its proper implementation and no analysis of capacity in the current system to enable these changes to happen.

You can find further examples and findings regarding the implementation gap in our Future Generations Report. In particular, you may wish to read ‘Chapter 2: Welsh Government, Public Sector and Procurement’.

**Welsh Government continues to complicate an already complex landscape.**

Welsh Government continue to introduce new guidance, policy, legislation, and reviews that overlook the Act and create new layers of complexity and governance. This displays a lack of integrated thinking and suggests that Welsh Ministers need more robust mechanisms for join-up and seeing the bigger picture.

There is a lack of clarity over how they interact with each other and their obligations under the Act, and there is a tendency to by-pass existing boards that have already been set up. For example, to deliver on a well-being objective to ‘give every child the best start in life’ a public body would need alignment between Public Services Boards (PSBs), Regional Partnership Boards, Area Planning Boards, Community Safety Partnerships, Regional Skills Partnerships and City / Regional Growth deals. The Local Government and Elections (Wales) Bill potentially adds to an already complex and crowded partnership environment by creating statutory regional Corporate Joint Committees and proposes they will also be subject to the well-being duties of the Act.

There should not be any new reviews, commissions or bodies or governance structures, which do not have the requirements of the Act as part of their terms of reference.

**Public Services Boards are not being given a high enough priority or resource by Welsh Government.**

I have recommended to Welsh Government they should consider how to provide more funding opportunities to PSBs, strengthening the link between national and local delivery. Further guidance is also needed to ensure that Welsh Government representatives on PSBs are having opportunities to share their insight with Welsh Ministers and across Government. Welsh Government should ensure the civil service representative on each Board is gathering this intelligence on local delivery, playing a more active role in working across Government to resolve issues and concerns. These representatives should be reporting challenges and opportunities to full Cabinet regularly.

**There is a need to ensure consistency in using the language of the Act in legislation, policy, guidance, ministerial statements, performance frameworks and terms of reference for review boards.**

In many cases I have seen the implementation of the Act undermines or confusion causes by conflicting language in guidance and policy. Differences in language within policy, legislation and guidance coming from government
serves to distract from the Act. In particular, those responsible for implementing the Act in Health Boards have raised concerns that this results in the Board and senior management seeing the Act as separate to what they do.

Examples of different language being used in documents include:

- The Parliamentary Review of Health and Social Care (2018) makes passing reference to the Act, the ways of working and sets a timeframe of transforming health and social care over the next five-ten years;
- The Welsh Government Integrated Care Fund guidance (2019) defines ‘integration’ in a different way to how the Act defines the term, focusing on partnership and new models of services;
- The Fair Work Commission (2018) was established with little reference to the definition of a “prosperous Wales”, which includes the term “decent work”.
- Welsh Government’s most recent Annual Report (2019) on progress towards their well-being objectives describes a “more prosperous, equal and greener Wales.”

The short-term funding cycle are a barrier to long-term thinking.

Financial planning and short-term funding inhibit the ability of public bodies to meet their well-being objectives and result in making collaboration, involvement, prevention, long-term thinking, and integration more challenging. Much of this is in the power of Welsh Government to address. Nevertheless, all public bodies should do more to align financial planning and well-being to plan for the long-term, and whilst most would also welcome longer-term funding, they should accept that a large proportion of the budget is static and does not change year on year. Setting out a vision through their well-being objectives should help them to plan longer-term and work towards funding meeting their objectives.

Performance and regulatory frameworks drive progress and change in the wrong areas

Public bodies describe how corporate planning and reporting progress on their well-being objectives is a challenge because they are responding to other duties and requirements placed on them by Welsh Government.

For example, in health bodies, their main vehicle of corporate planning and reporting performance is their Integrated Medium-Term Plans. While these cover three years, the majority of financial planning and approval undertaken with Welsh Government still follows an annual cycle. Even a three-year cycle contradicts the Act in thinking long-term and prevenitatively, driving behaviour within health bodies that focuses on short-term, crisis management. There is no requirement on health boards to account for their performance against their well-being objectives from the Health Minister or senior officials in government.

It is entirely within the power of government to rectify this situation and ensure that performance management and reporting requirements set by Welsh Government reflect the Act better. This should be addressed as a priority.
There needs to be better integration and join-up

Traditional, siloed structures in Welsh Government (and other public bodies) are not designed to enable an integrated approach to decision-making. For example, in Welsh Government, the way Main Expenditure Groups are still organised in terms of Ministerial portfolios (‘Education’, ‘Health and Social Services’) means it is challenging for collaborative decisions to be made. This can result in narrow policies, measures and funding criteria which filter down to public bodies, which makes applying the five ways of working locally, or taking a holistic ‘place-based approach,’ very difficult.

There are numerous times when I have advised Ministers and officials of a lack of join-up. For example, the National Development Framework and targets for homeworking were announced within ten days of each other, but no link was made between the two. There needs to be a requirement to show where the connections are across different polices and how they interact.

Similarly, multiple working groups and Ministerial Advisory Groups were established as part of the Welsh Government’s work on COVID recovery planning which were not aware of each other and had limited cross-reference. A solution would be simple mapping of boards across Government and requirement in Terms of Reference to consider.

The leadership role of the Welsh Government

Welsh Government plays a critical role in the success of the large-scale cultural change programme driven by the legislation, not just because they are a major public body covered by the Act themselves, but because whether or not they demonstrate the principles of the Act has a significant impact on what other public bodies do. To ensure that the Act is implemented successfully in the future, I have recommended to Welsh Government that they:

- Remove barriers to effective implementation of the Act, provide trusting leadership to public bodies and Public Services Boards, incentivise and encourage adoption of the Act, reduce bureaucracy on public bodies and welcome new approaches.
- Adopt a model of well-being budgets.
- Lead the way in instilling values of kindness at every level of government and in public policy.
- Work to bring outside expertise into Government. For example, the ‘Ministry of Possibilities’ in the United Arab Emirates brings together the brightest and the best from all levels of government and public service, the private sector and third sector, to develop and implement innovative solutions to current or future challenges. This has been identified by the OECD as one of the leading innovations in governance in their ‘Embracing Innovations in Governance Global Trends report 2020 (which also includes the work being done in Wales around the Well-being of Future Generations Act)
- Introduce a ‘Real Life Fast Track’ programme within the Civil Service and Public Sector to involve broader perspectives and experiences in policy development. In addition to considering how professionals and officials work together across sectors to devise policy – there is a real opportunity to harness the knowledge and insight of those with lived experiences to work alongside the civil service. Building on the
successes of various graduate programmes and apprenticeships, I would encourage Government to explore approaches to actively draw in diverse experiences.

- Establish a cross-party, cross-sectoral Commission to create a long-term vision and strategy for the Welsh public sector of 2050.
- Close the ‘implementation gap’. In seeking to close this implementation gap, Welsh Government should be applying the ways of working in how they design, resource, deliver and evaluate the implementation of policy and legislation.
- Appoint a Minister for Prevention, with responsibilities for taking a whole-government approach to investment in prevention. This would not remove the duty of all Ministers to demonstrate how they are applying the definition of prevention in their own portfolios but would drive coherent action in the most significant cross-cutting areas.
- Top-slice budgets for specific spending on prevention.

2. Sector Findings

My statutory monitoring and assessing in 2018-19 allowed me to examine progress by each individual public body, as well as by each of the public sectors subject to the duties of the Act. My Future Generations Report also provides particular insights into how different pressures impact on the range of public bodies subject to the Act.

Across all public bodies subject to the duties of the Act, I have found that the corporate areas of change outlined in the Act are potential levers to drive change, and whilst many public bodies are making better use of procurement, they should more clearly demonstrate how they are using the other corporate areas such workforce planning, financial planning. Welsh Government must incentivise and encourage use of the Act in these areas.

Findings across the Health sector

There is compelling evidence that we are not investing in the best balance of services to keep people well and the NHS is struggling to prevent illness. The current approach to funding means the NHS must prioritise treating ill people because there is a high demand, and it is performance managed as such by Government. The adage of “what gets measured, gets done” is significant because health bodies struggle to implement the Act with these pressures.

As such, there is a contradiction in the priorities placed on Health Boards through the Act and from Government. It appears that there has been little focus on health boards accounting for their performance against their well-being objectives from the Health Minister or senior officials in government, and their work is too often driven by short-term targets and crisis management, and this is having the unintended consequence of making our population less healthy. The performance management framework set by Welsh Government needs to evolve rapidly to reward and recognise the delivery of well-being outcomes, not focus on outputs, quantitative information, and processes.
There is an encouraging evidence of some health Boards increasing their focus on implementing the Act and finding innovative approach such as Swansea Bay Health Board’s management of Glanrhyd Hospital in Bridgend focuses on supporting people through mental illness by creating space for nature, and the Healthy Travel Charter in Cardiff and the Vale of Glamorgan.

There is some evidence that they are adopting approaches that are more preventative in places, for example, the Transformation Fund is funding some interesting approaches, such as Integrated Well-being Networks in Gwent and the I CAN community hubs in Llandudno, Rhyl and Prestatyn. However, it is clear that more work is needed for a system-wide shift to prevention. There is insufficient evidence that bodies have considered the type of prevention they are investing in (primary, secondary, tertiary), the outcomes they want this investment to achieve, and how they should shift investment to primary and secondary prevention. Preventative activities still primarily relate to medical interventions rather than considering a holistic approach to prevention more widely.

However, as a result of the challenge in changing culture and the focus from Welsh Government health bodies have largely focused on health and social well-being. Most health bodies have set well-being objectives focused on the more traditional definition of ‘health’ and failed to consider how they can respond to environmental, cultural and economic well-being. For example, through air quality, poverty, inadequate housing, and loneliness. Developments in the last year are more promising. I recommend that health bodies should consider setting broader well-being objectives, in collaboration with other bodies, and ensure that the steps they are taking to meet their objectives are clear.

**Most health body objectives tend to be in the traditional realm of:**

- 'We will provide high-quality care as locally as possible wherever it is safe and sustainable'
- 'Provide sustainable Domiciliary Care'
- 'Deliver quality health and care services'
- 'Have a planned care system where demand and capacity are in balance'

However, more recently there have been examples of the health sector thinking more broadly. For example, Hywel Dda Health Board’s reviewed well-being objectives in 2019-20 include: 'Promote the natural environment and capacity to adapt to climate change' and 'Plan and deliver services to enable people to participate in social and green solutions for health.'

There is a need for greater consideration of long-term thinking across their work. I understand that this lack of focus is partly due to pressures but also due to lack of understanding of trends, futures-thinking, potential disruptions and the impact on localities.

There is a perception that the Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act has been far better resourced than the Well-being of Future Generations Act by Government, despite both Acts demanding transformational change and the fact that the majority of broader health determinants lie outside of the health and social care interface, which does not seem to be recognised by Welsh Government’s Health Department. This has meant that the attention of
Health Board staff is often diverted from the work of Public Services Boards to Regional Partnership Boards, where the scope of improving well-being is more limited. For example, Welsh Government agreed a £7.2 million ‘Prevention Fund’ to ‘support effective interventions in relation to the prevention of ill health and early years.’ This funding has been allocated to health boards (with priorities needing to be agreed with Regional Partnership Boards), against my advice that it should be focused on the broader determinants of health at a Public Services Board level.

Findings across government sponsored national public bodies

As with other public bodies, national bodies describe a disconnect between various duties and priorities imposed on them by Government. The annual remit letters provide an outline of deliverables and allocated funding. The short-term, annual nature of these letters hampers their ability to focus over and above immediate deliverables. It is encouraging to see some national bodies challenging the system, like Sport Wales, who are reforming their performance management.

Remit letters should provide much clearer requirements and integration of duties to enable implementation of the Act, they should follow discussion with Government on how the remit letters reflect the well-being objectives of Government and of that public body. Where performance measures are provided, they are mostly traditional output measures, which means national bodies currently struggle to show impact and progress on their well-being objectives.

As such, there is naturally a variation in how the national public bodies apply the Act. Where national bodies have a specialist remit, such as the Arts Council for Wales or Natural Resources Wales, there is a stronger contribution to the relevant well-being goals. It is positive to see good practice in these areas but public bodies must set objectives that maximise contribution to all well-being goals holistically, not just those that relate most to their remit. This could partly be addressed through increased collaboration, integration and involvement. However, capacity is an issue. National bodies have raised with me and the Auditor General for Wales that collaboration can be challenging; they find it difficult to engage with complex governance structures, struggle to find the resources to support partnership and collaborative working.

Nonetheless, there are good examples of national bodies taking action to contribute to multiple goals – not just the ones most relevant to their remit. For example, Amgueddfa Cymru are contributing to a Wales of vibrant culture and thriving Welsh language, a prosperous Wales, a more equal Wales, a Wales of cohesive communities, a healthier Wales and showing the impact of collaboration through their objective “People in Wales have opportunities to develop skills through cultural learning”. They have embarked on a project to increase the number and diversity of their volunteers. By actively collaborating with other organisations, they have diversified and broadened the volunteer base and substantially increased the numbers volunteering to approximately 700 people.

During the Section 20 Procurement Review, the National Library of Wales shared that they decided to cancel the commissioning and procurement of a building to store tapes and films, as part of a large national broadcasting archive. The building would have had a negative environmental impact and did not reflect...
the organisation’s well-being objectives or the four dimensions of well-being. The cost of the building has been redirected to projects focusing on community engagement, culture and heritage. This new approach has been supported by the Heritage lottery.

Findings across local government

The responsibilities of Local Government are far-reaching and, therefore, there are numerous sections of my Future Generations Report that are relevant to how Local Authorities are applying the Act in ‘what’ they do and ‘how’ they do it; from transport, to housing, to planning, to nature restoration, to skills and education, for example.

My monitoring and assessing of progress found that there are capacity issues being felt in Local Government, for example, financial pressure facing local authorities are limiting capacity to lead long-term change. This is not necessarily about needing resources for new services or more people, but rather about the capacity of the stripped back services and corporate areas of Local Authorities to lead change, think innovatively and reach out to collaborate and integrate with others - whilst also managing increasingly pressurised day jobs.

Progress is being made on meeting well-being objectives in some areas, but there is variation in how decision-making is applying all of the five ways of working – as described in part 1 above. Local Authorities need to better explain their use of the five ways of working and how they are taking all reasonable steps to meet their objectives in decision-making. Whilst there are good examples of local authorities, often with PSB partners are considering long term trends for example, the Gwent PSBs have collaborated with Ash Futures Consulting to publish a Horizon Scanning Report on trends for the next 20 years, which they are using to determine steps to meet their well-being objectives and inform regional work. Cardiff Council and Cardiff PSB similarly have set out their well-being objectives in the context of ‘Cardiff Today’ and ‘Cardiff Tomorrow’ providing predicted trends for 2036 to demonstrate why they have made certain decisions. Local Government should more clearly demonstrate how they are considering long-term trends and scenarios.

Many public bodies are going beyond their traditional functions to work with others, but collaboration needs to move beyond the most obvious partners and ‘information-sharing’ towards more powerful partnerships and pooling resources. For example, Local Authorities have not always made clear connections between their well-being objectives on skills with schools within their area, Growth / City Deals, Regional Skills Partnerships and other Further and Higher Education institutions.

Local Government have reported that the timings of the legislation have been an issue. Elections shortly after the publication of objectives (May 2017) and the later publication of Public Services Board well-being assessments and well-being plans are viewed as anomalies within the Act. I have encouraged critically revisiting objectives and steps on a regular basis.

As with other sectors, Local Government have found corporate planning, performance management and reporting requirements set by Welsh Government prohibitive to implementing the Act. The short-term nature has
been a distraction, disrupting their desire to act for the long-term in requiring a report that shows measurable improvement annually. It is encouraging that the Local Government and Elections (Wales) Bill seeks to provide a new performance and governance system, but I have been advising Government against complicating duties outside of the Act once again.

All sector findings and recommendations can be found here.

3. Progress against the well-being goals

The well-being goals represent a common vision for the future of Wales – what public services need to be collectively aspiring to. There is positive action being taken on all of the goals, in places, and pockets of good practice where I can see determined progress being made.

However, some goals are less understood, and clarity is lacking on how public bodies are meeting them. This is particularly true of ‘A Prosperous Wales’, ‘A Resilient Wales’ and ‘A Globally Responsible Wales’. There is a tendency to rely on the title of the goals, neglecting the full extent of their legal definitions.

The use of language associated with the goals also continues to be a problem. For example, Welsh Government’s latest Annual Report (2019-20) is titled ‘a more prosperous, equal and greener Wales’, which can be confusing and undermine the framework as set out in the Act.

However, when I compare earlier corporate plans with more recent annual reports of public bodies, the general understanding of the goals and their meaning is improving. Health boards, for example, are setting objectives and steps relating to ‘A Resilient Wales’, and more bodies are exploring what they can do to contribute to more of the goals.

A key challenge is also the lack of integration between goals and objectives. This is consistent with the findings of Audit Wales. Action in an area (for example, skills, land use planning, transport, housing) often focuses on one goal, instead of seeking to have multiple benefits across the well-being goals.

Several public bodies have introduced tools and templates to help people consider how their proposal is integrated and contributing to each of the seven national well-being goals. However, paper-based exercises are not enough to show how public bodies are working differently.

There is still a lack of understanding that the goals should inform objectives and steps – rather than setting objectives and steps and hoping they retrospectively fit and contribute to the goals. Public bodies can also demonstrate their contribution to the goals through the seven corporate areas of change (and their own corporate approaches).

I am seeing evidence from some, for example, Natural Resources Wales, Amgueddfa Cymru, the fire and rescue services and national park authorities, of being more imaginative and collaborating with others to contribute to a wider set of goals. Public bodies should move beyond paper-based exercises, ensure staff are trained, supported and constructively challenged on the application of the Act.

South Wales Fire and Rescue Service and Natural Resources Wales have demonstrated the power of collaboration, integration, and involvement by seeking to work towards their objective to 'Reduce the number of
deliberate fires'. A range of methods have been adopted, but the ‘Healthy Hillsides’ project in collaboration with the Wildlife Trust, local authorities, other voluntary sector partners, local farmers and the wider community shows contribution to A Prosperous Wales, A Resilient Wales, A Healthier Wales, A Wales of Cohesive Communities and A Globally Responsible Wales.

My recommendations for all public bodies and boards covered by the Well-being of Future Generations Act are to:

- Test every-thing they do according to the Act’s ‘double test’ of the ‘what’ and the ‘how’.
- Develop their corporate centre and processes in line with the requirements of the Act, but also encourage innovation and culture change.
- Invest in building a movement of change, identifying and breaking down barriers to implementation and promoting wide understanding of how each part of their organisation contributes to the national mission of the Act - improving the well-being of future generations.
- Involve their workforce in meeting their well-being objectives; start with their own actions, their teams, departments, and whole organisations; to meet the national well-being goals.
- The whole system needs to be thinking and acting for the long-term. The Government are yet to publish national milestones regarding the national well-being indicators of the Act, which may help public bodies to act more for the long-term and set appropriate annual targets or measurements to get closer towards milestones. Welsh Government should seek to set milestones in collaboration with others. PSBs and public bodies should then consider a similar method to define success for achieving their well-being objectives and steps in five, ten, fifteen, twenty and twenty-five years.
- Undertake horizon scanning exercises to think, plan and resource for the long-term future with others in collaboration – public, private, voluntary sector and members of their community. Welsh Government should help by establishing a targeted resource to help public bodies build capacity in long-term thinking, planning and futures techniques.
- Ensure they are taking an integrated approach, aligned with well-being objectives in order to achieve multiple benefits across the well-being goals.

4. Challenges and Opportunities

I am seeing individual champions of the Act, and its ways of working, change the way we deliver and design services across Wales. For example, the way transport planning is being done in our capital city, reforming the way we think about keeping older people well, shifting beyond delivering ‘services’ to focusing on what matters to them. I am seeing politicians reject the status quo of addressing congestion through building more roads, instead looking for solutions which are better for the well-being of people and planet.

However, both I and the Auditor General for Wales have found that public services are not resourced sufficiently themselves to support the cultural change required by the Act, and this is limiting positive impacts being felt within communities.
As Commissioner, one of the purposes of my office is to support the application of the Act and, as a result, responding to over 600 of requests for support which range from:

- requests to support the drafting and updating of policy (e.g. NDF, PPW and LDP Manual),
- requests to be part of review groups (e.g. WelTAG Review and the Digital Skills Review) or sit on boards (e.g. Welsh Government’s Freelancers Pledge Working Group),
- requests to provide feedback to reports and corporate plans (multiple from different public bodies, most recently from National Museum on their new corporate plan and strategy),
- smaller requests for information, resources, and our position on a variety of issues

My team have produced resources and supported public bodies in a variety of ways, which we hope will challenge thinking, decision-making, delivery, and scrutiny:

- A series of Future Generations Frameworks on infrastructure projects, service design and scrutiny. These act as a series of prompts to support decision-making
- Achieving the ‘Art of the Possible’: a series of journeys towards each of the well-being goals and ‘Involvement’ which give the areas which I recommend public bodies focus on in setting objectives and steps to meet the goals as well as practical examples and case studies to support this
- A self-reflection tool to support public bodies to reflect on and have conversations around progress
- Guidance on how to set good well-being objectives
- A futures guide to help to think and plan better for the long-term
- Extensive targeted written and oral advice to Welsh Government, public bodies, organisations not covered by the Act and individuals (e.g. Environmental Permitting, M4 Relief Road, Welsh Government’s Budget, the Climate and Nature Emergency and COVID-19 Recovery)
- Delivered presentations to public bodies and Public Services Boards on a variety of issues including, the Act, implementation, integration, and long-term thinking.
- Supported Public Services Boards and Welsh Government through our Live Lab models.
- Advice to Public Services Boards on well-being assessments and well-being objectives.
- Published research (e.g. ‘Transport fit for Future Generations’ and ‘Education fit for the Future’).
- Published a ’10 Point Plan to Fund Wales’ Climate Emergency’.
- Currently undertaking a Section 20 Review on Procurement and will issue recommendations.

I also endeavour to find creative ways to communicate my advice which includes via the Future Generations Leadership Academy, Newsletters, Young People’s versions of my Manifesto for the Future and via a Poet in Residence. Much of my resources and advice is also developed, designed and published in partnership with others.

The level of support and advice offered to public bodies and Ministers increases year on year - requests for support and advice to my office were up by 78% in 2019-20 from 2018-19. My current level of funding is insufficient to allow me to thoroughly monitor and assess all public body well-being objectives and provide the
level of support needed to change public sector culture. This is a case I have repeatedly made to various Senedd Committees and to Government.

I have consistently raised concerns about how this has a negative impact on the quality and level of my support and advice I can offer. My Office is the lowest funded of all the Commissioners with a significantly larger remit. This means I struggle to resource all of the requests for support and advice my office receives and I am forced to make difficult choices and prioritise some requests over others, depending on size of the request, the capacity of my team, and the links to my on-going work and areas of focus.

This demand on the capacity of my office looks set to increase (for example, Corporate Joint Committees will soon also be subject to the Act and will, therefore, require monitoring, assessing and advice from me). Disappointingly, the regulatory impact assessment for the establishment of Corporate Joint Committees does not include any additional cost to my office despite additional burdens to Audit Wales and other organizations’ being detailed in it. Other areas include the possible impact of my functions because of the UK’s exit from the EU and the subsequent changes to HEFCW’s remit and establishment of a Commission for Tertiary Education and Research. I do not think continuing to ask my small team to cover such a wide remit and deliver a statutory work programme, that is inadequately resourced, is sustainable.

Going forward, as Welsh Government and public bodies plan their recovery from the COVID pandemic and deal with ongoing challenges, the long-term and integrated focus of the Well-being of Future Generations Act has never been more essential. We have a once-in-a-generation opportunity to create public services that tackle the ongoing economic, equality, health, climate, and nature crises that provides the Wales We Want for our communities.

I look forward to meeting the Committee in the New Year to contribute to this important inquiry and to expand on my findings and recommendations in the Future Generations Report.

Yours Sincerely,

Sophie Howe

Future Generations Commissioner for Wales