

Minutes of the Education and Lifelong Learning Committee

Date: 27 June 2001

Time: 2.00pm

Venue: Committee Rooms 3&4, National Assembly Building

Attendance:

Members

Cynog Dafis, Chair	Plaid Cymru	Mid and West Wales
Jane Davidson, Assembly Minister	Labour	Pontypridd
Lorraine Barrett	Labour	Cardiff South and Penarth
Eleanor Burnham	Liberal Democrat	North Wales
Janice Gregory	Labour	Ogmore
Pauline Jarman	Plaid Cymru	South Wales Central
Gareth Jones	Plaid Cymru	Conwy
Huw Lewis	Labour	Merthyr Tydfil and Rhymney
Jonathan Morgan	Conservative	South Wales Central
Alun Pugh	Labour	Clwyd West

Committee Secretariat

Chris Reading	Clerk
Holly Pembridge	Deputy Clerk

Officials

Richard Davies	Director, National Assembly Training and Education Department
Elizabeth Taylor	Head, Schools Management Division
Derek Adams	Head of Higher Education Division
Ann MacGregor	Schools Management Division
Deryck Jones	Pupil Support Division
Judith Cole	Higher Education Division
Tony Widdrington	Office of the Counsel General

Apologies

None

Substitutions

None

Declarations of Interest

Cynog Dafis	Registered Teacher
Jane Davidson	Registered Teacher
Huw Lewis	Registered Teacher
Lorraine Barrett	School Governor
Pauline Jarman	Member of Court of Governors, University of Cardiff; and Leader of Rhondda Cynon Taff County Borough Council
Gareth Jones	Registered Teacher; and Member of Conwy County Borough Council

Private Session

Item One: Policy Review – Higher Education Review – Evaluation Stage II

The Committee undertook Evaluation Stage II of the HE Review in a private session.

Public Session

Chair’s Opening Remarks

- The Chair thanked the Expert Adviser for arranging a demonstration of advances in e-learning by colleagues from the University of Glamorgan prior to the Committee meeting. Members who had been unable to attend, expressed interest in attending another presentation on this subject if it could be arranged.
- The Chair asked Members to confirm that their interests had not changed since the last meeting. There were no changes to those interests recorded in the minutes of the previous meeting.
- The Chair congratulated the Committee’s legal adviser, Tony Widdrington (OCG) on being awarded an OBE, in the Queen’s Birthday Honours.

Item Two: Policy Review – Supply of School Places – Draft Final Report

Paper: *ELL 12-01(p.1)*

2.1 The Chair invited the Committee to approve or amend the Supply of School Places Draft Final Report. The Chair stated that the report was to be debated in Plenary on 10 July and had to be tabled by 2 July. The Chair pointed out that the Committee had been given the opportunity prior to the meeting to inform the Clerk of any suggested amendments.

2.2 The following issues were raised in discussion:

- The Minister commented that the District Audit Commission report contained some very useful information and she was pleased that it was included as an annex to the Committee's report. The Chair agreed with the Minister's comment.
- Eleanor Burnham expressed the view that, " guidelines should encompass the stated policy of the Assembly Administration as outlined in 'Putting Wales First' (the Partnership Agreement) to support small/rural schools, to encourage federation, IT networking and other methods of support for smaller schools ". She suggested adding this text as a fourth recommendation.
- Gareth Jones thought that some of the points made in the District Audit Commission's Report could be seen as contentious by some, in light of a reference in the report that some of the authorities were not ensuring the correctness of their statistics. The Chair pointed out that the words of the District Audit Commission's report did not represent the words of the Committee. The District Audit Commission Report was an annex and would be for information only.
- Lorraine Barrett raised the issue of whether it was appropriate for the report of the Committee to explicitly endorse the Partnership Agreement policy: she would have liked earlier notice that this was going to be proposed. The Minister pointed out that the Committee had not considered the Partnership Agreement policy in the course its review. The Minister explained that she supported the intention of Eleanor Burnham's text but felt it inappropriate to amend the draft report. The Chair agreed and felt that it would be beyond the Committee's remit to include this text. Eleanor Burnham agreed to withdraw her amendment.
- Gareth Jones referred to the text in paragraph four on p.19 of the paper and highlighted that the text did not mention Equal Opportunities or Sustainable Development, nor were they mentioned anywhere else in the document. The Chair pointed out that this part of text was a direct quote from a document produced by Carmarthen County Council and could not be altered. Sustainable Development and Equal Opportunities were alluded to in the report in the context of accessibility of school places and transportation.
- It was agreed that the Clerk would include an appropriate reference to the Assembly's three key themes in the Foreword.
- The Committee then accepted the draft report as the Committee's report to Plenary.

Item Three: Initial consideration of budget priorities, in accordance with Standing Order 9.8

Papers: ELL 12- 01(p.2), ELL 12- 01(p.2) Annex 1 & ELL 12- 01(p.3) & ELL 12- 01 (p.3) Annex 1

3.1 All Subject Committee Chairs had received a commissioning minute sent by the Finance Minister, Edwina Hart on the initial consideration of budget priorities (paper ELL 12-01(p.2) plus Annex 1). The Minister for Education and Lifelong Learning responded with a paper outlining her preliminary assessment of her priorities for the period covered by the 2001 Budget Planning Round (BPR). The Committee was provided with the opportunity to comment on these priorities and the Committee would subsequently need to set out its views in a note from the Chair to the Minister for Education and Lifelong Learning by 20 July 2001. The Assembly Cabinet would then produce a draft budget for consideration, by subject committees in October 2001.

3.2 The Minister commented that in her opinion, it had been helpful for the Committee to receive both the Finance Minister's Commissioning Paper and her own budget priorities paper at the same meeting, so that Members could view what had been achieved so far and her views on future priorities. The Minister commented that she was committed to providing high quality, lifelong education for all whilst promoting long term sustained improvement.

3.3 The Minister referred to her paper by giving the Committee a review of achievements over the period 2000 – 2001 and introduced her main priorities for the 2001 Budget Planning Round. The Minister added that she would be grateful to receive comments from the Committee, especially concerning Section B of her paper: *"Priorities: pressures and barriers to progress"*. The Minister made reference in particular to areas requiring improvement: a shortage in Basic Skills, qualification levels in the working age population being too low and inconsistent post-16 Welsh medium provision. The Minister emphasised the importance of addressing the disability agenda and driving up standards in general in education. She placed a key emphasis on delivering the Assembly's agenda in partnership with local authorities.

3.4 The Minister had responded to the Committee's request at the previous meeting to provided written details concerning the Budget Outturn for 2000 - 2001, in particular the underspend on education budgets. A copy of this is appended at Annex A. The Minister emphasised to the Committee that this was not an issue of funding being lost but essentially of reprofiling – agreement had already been given to £49m of the £56m total being carried forward. At the request of Pauline Jarman, the Minister had provided, at short notice, information for each local authorities' spend under the new deal and additional capital

funding programmes. Members were provided with a copy of this information and this can be viewed at Annex B.

3.5 The following issues were raised in discussion:

- Members were interested to know why there was underspend in schools capital expenditure of £30.7 m if it had been known from the outset that authorities would have difficulty achieving this level of spend in 2000-01. Members were also interested to know what would happen to the £7m balance left after £49 million of the under spend was carried over into 2001-02. The Minister drew Members attention to paragraph seven in the Budget Outturn 2000-2001 paper. The Minister emphasised that Local Government had not been geared up for the increased schools capital spending that they had received funding for. She wanted the Assembly to work together with local government to ensure that they were geared up to achieve the increased levels of investment which were needed to bring schools up to a good physical condition. Key to that was ongoing commitment by the Assembly to higher levels of funding which would enable authorities to plan with confidence. With such knowledge local authorities could draw up plans and recruit the necessary staff to deliver significant programmes of investment. The Minister added that in a situation where capital spending was expanding the potential for underspend was greater. Her key concern was that in 12 months time all authorities would have demonstrated that they could carry forward expenditure plans in line with the Assembly's wishes. The Minister confirmed that she was currently in negotiation with the Finance Minister, Edwina Hart, with regards to the use of the £7m balance of the underspend.
- Gareth Jones raised the issue of whether at this stage of the meeting, it was appropriate to discuss the budget outturns as opposed to concentrating on budget priorities. The Chair felt that it would be impossible to avoid the issue and it was appropriate therefore, for the Committee to proceed with the discussion.
- Members asked how much the pilot Welsh Baccalaureate scheme would cost. The Minister responded that she would be making a statement on the pilot Welsh Baccalaureate scheme the next day (28 June 2001) and would respond then.
- The issue of Information Communications Technology (ICT) training in Initial Teacher Training (ITT) was raised in the context of the specific skills as teachers would need to implement the Assembly's ICT strategy. The Minister stated that a clear strategy was needed from ITT through to delivery in schools; ICT in schools was a priority and a task force was already in place.

- Members asked if the Minister would make available extra resources for students for the new academic year - September 2001, in light of the Investigation Group into Student Hardship's report and recommendations. The Minister informed the Committee that the Assembly Cabinet would respond as soon as possible to the report. At present, there were insufficient resources to be allow an increase in funding in time for the new term, September 2001.
- Pauline Jarman welcomed the additional capital funding for local authorities but argued that the timescale between authorities being notified of the additional funding (October 2000) and the end of the financial year was too short, particularly as the summer holidays were the most opportune time to carry out maintenance/construction. She also pointed out that the figures for spending by individual authorities from the New Deal and Additional Capital programmes were four-year cumulative figures and were not figures for a single year. The Minister reminded Pauline Jarman that the request for these additional statistics had been received on the morning of the meeting and they had been made available as quickly as possible. The Chair informed Pauline Jarman that it would be preferable if she did not refer repeatedly to the experience of one particular Local Authority. Elizabeth Taylor added that the one year all Wales figures were given in paragraph four of the Budget Outturn 2000 – 2001 paper – the 'GM/VA schools capital' and 'schools capital (welfare to work)' lines. She also explained the in-year additional capital funding of some £26m had originally been placed in the 'education – general capital funding' line but subsequently transferred to the GM/VA line and this accounted for the substantial differences in the original and revised budgets for these lines.
- Members asked whether there were any specific conditions that ensured that general capital funding allocated for education was indeed spent on schools/education. Elizabeth Taylor responded that general capital funding which was part of the annual local government capital settlement was not hypothecated for individual services. General capital funding could be used for other purposes. New deal for schools additional capital funding for schools were ring fenced grants and had to be spent by Local Authorities for school purposes. The Assembly needed to track what Local Authorities were spending on schools out of their general capital budget. The Minister added that Members might wish to ask their Local Authorities and what they spent on schools from their general capital funding.
- Members suggested that authorities' failure to spend in 2000-01 meant they had actually spent less on school capital than in the previous financial year and this was a retrograde step. The Minister stated that she absolutely rejected this. Funding had increased to £101m and none of the monies were lost to school investment. The Minister added that until recently, Local Government often did not have planned capital programmes, nor proper

evidence about the state of schools buildings. Local authorities now had to produce asset management plans which allowed them to identify priorities in the light of comprehensive information on the state of school building. LEAs needed confidence in the future availability of Assembly funding and all-party support so that they could make significant progress.

- Members commented that for the first time, LEAs had been guaranteed year-on-year increases in capital funding. Also, Members commented that it would be useful to have information on spending more frequently – two or three times a year.
- Members asked how GEST funding was progressing. The Minister commented that one of the key considerations for Members was targeting GEST funding towards tackling social deprivation. Richard Davies added that the GEST formulae had been reworked and that advice would shortly be given to the Minister.
- Pauline Jarman called for regular budget monitoring as part of the Minister's monthly report to the Committee. The Chair reminded Pauline Jarman that Members could request the Minister to report on specific topics if they so wished.
- The Minister reminded the Committee that with regards to the £8m underspend on teacher restructuring this largely arose from the knock on effect of the delay to threshold assessment. Schools and others could not have coped with work on this and on performance management at the same time. One of the potential demands on the £7m presently unallocated from the underspend was additional funding for the costs of threshold payments for any authority whose share of funding for this purpose within the local government revenue settlement was inadequate.
- The Chair advised the Committee that if any Members wished to question the Minister on the budget outturn underspend, they should do so in writing.

The Chair announced that discussions concerning the Budget Planning Round 2000- 2001 would resume at the next meeting on 11 July 2001.

Item Four: Minutes of 13 June 2001 meeting

Paper: ELL 11- 01(mins)

4.1 The Committee was given the opportunity to ratify the minutes of the last meeting.

4.2 The following issues were raised in discussion:

- Alun Pugh pointed out that on p.9 of the minutes, he had referred to worrying levels of obesity in school children and had suggested that children should be encouraged to walk, cycle or find a healthy way of getting to school, rather than just sitting in a car. The minutes only referred to children cycling. *(The minutes were subsequently amended).*
- The Minister referred to p.7 of the minutes and stated that she said that formally there were 20 CCETs in Wales but the might have been 21 if Conwy and Denbigh had split. The minutes stated that there was 21 CCETs. *(The minutes were subsequently amended).*

Committee Secretariat

June 2001

ELL 12- 01

Education and Lifelong Learning Committee

Budget Outturn 2000-2001

PURPOSE

1. The Committee asked for a report on outturn on the Education and Lifelong Learning Main Expenditure Group for 2000-2001. The Minister for Finance will be making a statement, as usual, on the outturn across the Assembly's budget in July.

TIMING

2. For consideration on 27th June.

Introduction

3. Expenditure on education and lifelong learning is undertaken through 2 main routes – by the Assembly, its sponsored bodies and capital provision for local authorities, through the allocations announced and agreed as Education and Lifelong Learning MEG of the budget; and for the running costs of schools, through the annual local government revenue settlement which falls within the Assembly's local government MEG. The additional revenue funds for school education made available outside the revenue support settlement in 2000-2001 (£20.5m in May 200 and £7.9m in December 2000) are included in this report under the GEST SEG. The Committee will receive a paper on local education authority budgets for its 11th July meeting.

Education and Lifelong Learning MEG: Outturn

4. The table below sets out the final budget and outturn against the education and lifelong learning budgets under my portfolio. Final figures (month 13 adjustments) were completed by end of May and figures available in early June.

SEG Title	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Outturn	Difference
Training and Enterprise Support	133,789,000	135,179,000	134,184,454	- 994,546
Youth and Adult Training	20,431,000	20,641,000	20,640,500	- 500
Further Education Funding Council	213,570,000	213,570,000	213,080,000	- 490,000
Higher Education Funding Council	292,657,000	292,657,000	292,657,000	-
Student Access Funds	8,725,000	9,806,000	9,091,176	- 714,824
Promotion of Lifelong Learning	4,421,000	4,421,000	3,167,635	- 1,253,365
Research Development Fund	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	-
Teaching : Restructuring	16,410,000	13,259,000	5,047,002	- 8,211,998
GEST ¹	63,235,000	70,229,000	64,534,804	- 5,694,196
GM / VA Schools Capital ^{2 *}	10,333,000	41,164,000	15,772,190	- 25,391,810
Schools Capital (Welfare to Work) *	15,365,000	15,365,000	10,073,742	- 5,291,258
Total :Other Education	23,037,000	24,593,000	16,681,579	- 7,911,421
Education: General Capital Funding*	71,479,000	44,689,000	44,596,000	- 93,000
TOTAL : EDUCATION AND TRAINING	877,452,000	889,573,000	833,526,082	- 56,046,918

Notes

¹ the additional schools revenue funding of May and December 2000 accounts for £28.4m of the GEST SEG.

² the GM/VA capital SEG includes local authority schools capital; the breakdown is :

BEL Title	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Outturn	Difference
Voluntary Aided Schools - Capital	5,884,000	6,235,000	5,853,305	- 381,695
New Deal for Schools - Capital	2,200,000	30,278,000	7,816,381	-22,461,619
Sub total : A in A/ Voluntary aided schools	- 40,000	- 40,000	- 36,357	3,643
Grant maintained schools - capital	2,289,000	4,691,000	2,138,860	- 2,552,140
TOTAL : GM / VA Schools (SEG)	10,333,000	41,164,000	15,772,190	-25,391,810

5. The total underspend against the final budget is £56m or 6%.

6. Underspends occur on variety of programmes and for different reasons - there are some 200 accounts in 50 Budget Expenditure Lines within the Education and Lifelong Learning Main Expenditure Group, provision for each of which will have been estimated 12 months or more in advance. Underspends are more likely to occur when a large budget is increasing. The pre and post 16 education budgets for 1999-2000 totalled to £749.178m (the budgets were marshalled differently in that year and although they are broadly comparable some programmes have transferred); the underspend that year was £16.4m or 2%.

7. Of the £56m not spent in 2000-2001, schools capital accounted for £30.7m (the Sub Expenditure Groups (SEGs) marked with an asterisk in the table above).

The schools capital provision in 1999-2000 was £69.7m and expenditure was almost exactly to budget. Budgets have grown considerably since then, the schools capital budgets for 2000-2001 shown above were over £101m. It is taking time for local authorities to gear up to handle a much larger programme of activity – in particular we knew that authorities would find difficulty spending the additional £26m allocated in year. The resources continue to be available to Local Authorities for projects approved for their new deal and additional funding allocations. The expectation is that they will spend up to £21m of these allocations in this financial year and the remainder in 2002-2003 in addition to new allocations for 2001-2002 and planned funding for 2002-2003.

8. Of the underspend in “Other Education” the majority related to ICT (where nearly £7m relates to the specific scheme to provide ICT equipment in schools, museums, libraries and other access points across Wales). The Education IT programme was not implemented in 2000-2001 because we wanted to get it right, taking into account both the then Committee’s consideration of ICT and local authority views on needs. The approach taken allowed Local Authorities to give careful consideration to the needs of their schools and communities and come forward with innovative and imaginative local solutions to local needs. These resources are being carried forward into this financial year; with the resources already in the published budget this means a £16m programme of renewal and investment in IT equipment. I have recently approved LEA spending plans under this initiative which provide for the opening of Learning Centres from September onwards.

9. On “teaching restructuring” the underspend of £8m largely arose as a result of the knock on effects of the legal challenge to the arrangements for threshold assessment proposed by the then Department for Education and Employment. The assessments locally for the new performance management arrangements are to be carried out by the same people in schools and local authorities as those involved in the delayed threshold pay arrangements and this would have been an unreasonable burden to place upon schools and headteachers in particular.

10. Reasons for other underspends include shortfalls on individual learning accounts (part of the Training and Enterprise Support SEG above) where savings arose from our decision to contract with one supplier rather than another, a decline in the number of assisted places to be funded and the effects on foot and mouth on elements of the GEST programme.

End Year Flexibility

11. End year flexibility (also known as carry-forward) is available to the National Assembly for Wales to make use of underspends as it is to all Government Departments subject to Parliamentary approval. The amount for the Assembly as a whole cannot be confirmed until the Treasury has published in July the annual White Paper on outturn which also sets out the entitlement for end year flexibility for each Government Department and the devolved administrations. In practice, the first opportunity to carry forward resources is in December following Parliamentary approval of the Winter Supplementary Estimate. The Finance

Minister usually makes a statement to the Assembly in plenary following this approval. The increased provision will formally appear in budgets the day following the Finance Minister's statement. The actual amount drawn down is of course subject to the normal review of expenditure – there is no value in drawing down resources to contribute to an underspend at the end of the financial year. As part of normal ongoing budgetary management I review the needs and pressures and manage the budgets accordingly in year. Under Standing Order 19 the Committee is consulted about changes in allocations between the budget expenditure lines.

12. As I have indicated, the Finance Minister has provisionally agreed carry forward for the bulk of the unused resources from last year including to help meet new pressures and priorities where it is not needed to cover slippage.

Of the £56m not spent in 2000-2001, I have provisionally agreed with the Finance Minister the following :

- carry forward of the capital resources – profiled at present as £21m in this financial year and £10m in 2001-2002;
- carry forward of over £7m for Education IT;
- carry forward of £1.5m already transferred to the local government settlement to support an early retirement scheme for head teachers
- carry forward of over £0.5m to continue work on partnerships between schools and further education colleges and training providers;
- use of nearly £9m from the unspent resources to meet new priorities

13. In total, of the £56m underspend, the Finance Minister and I have provisionally agreed that nearly £50m is available to meet the purposes above. Further use of resources, across the Assembly – and it is only June – will be decided during the financial year as new pressures and priorities emerge.

Compliance

14. The Assembly's procedures in relation to allocation of programme budgets are covered under standing order 19. This paper relates to Education and Lifelong Learning Main Expenditure Group of the Assembly's budget. The powers related to them have been transferred to, or made exercisable by, the Assembly and authority to operate the associated schemes and programmes has been delegated to the Minister for Education and Lifelong Learning and officials. There are no issues of propriety or regularity.

Annex B

New Deal and Additional Capital Position for Local Authorities					
Authority	Year	Total Allocation	Total Amount Claimed	Balance Outstanding	% underspend
Anglesey	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,057,881.00	£ 1,005,219.50	£ 52,661.50	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 654,000.00	£ 80,495.00	£ 573,505.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 1,711,881.00	£ 1,085,714.50	£ 626,166.50	36.6%
	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,097,634.00	£ 1,413,634.00	-£ 316,000.00	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 663,000.00	£ 3,333.00	£ 659,667.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 1,760,634.00	£ 1,416,967.00	£ 343,667.00	19.5%
Bridgend	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 2,078,200.00	£ 2,019,895.14	£ 58,304.86	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,197,000.00	£ 236,632.46	£ 960,367.54	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 3,275,200.00	£ 2,256,527.60	£ 1,018,672.40	31.1%
Caerphilly	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 2,793,013.00	£ 2,678,141.25	£ 114,871.75	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,629,000.00	£ 479,460.00	£ 1,149,540.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 4,422,013.00	£ 3,157,601.25	£ 1,264,411.75	28.6%
Cardiff	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 4,565,258.00	£ 1,576,842.00	£ 2,988,416.00	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 2,590,000.00	£ 729,000.00	£ 1,861,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 7,155,258.00	£ 2,305,842.00	£ 4,849,416.00	67.8%
Carmarthenshire	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 3,117,051.00	£ 1,022,325.00	£ 2,094,726.00	

	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,671,000.00	£ -	£ 1,671,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 4,788,051.00	£ 1,022,325.00	£ 3,765,726.00	78.6%
Ceredigion	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,415,671.00	£ 693,662.00	£ 722,009.00	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 721,000.00	£ -	£ 721,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 2,136,671.00	£ 693,662.00	£ 1,443,009.00	67.5%
Conwy (Pooling)	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,549,421.00	£ 592,389.00	£ 957,032.00	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 930,000.00	£ 7,000.00	£ 923,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 2,479,421.00	£ 599,389.00	£ 1,880,032.00	75.8%
Denbighshire	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,575,936.00	£ 1,524,016.96	£ 51,919.04	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 864,000.00	£ -	£ 864,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 2,439,936.00	£ 1,524,016.96	£ 915,919.04	37.5%
Flintshire	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 2,270,815.00	£ 1,572,855.00	£ 697,960.00	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,333,000.00	£ 83,498.00	£ 1,249,502.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 3,603,815.00	£ 1,656,353.00	£ 1,947,462.00	54.0%
Gwynedd	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 2,194,574.00	£ 2,182,537.64	£ 12,036.36	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,148,000.00	£ 71,000.00	£ 1,077,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 3,342,574.00	£ 2,253,537.64	£ 1,089,036.36	32.6%
Merthyr Tydfil	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,046,717.00	£ 1,046,717.00	£ -	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 610,000.00	£ -	£ 610,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 1,656,717.00	£ 1,046,717.00	£ 610,000.00	36.8%
Monmouthshire	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,273,659.00	£ 1,180,314.92	£ 93,344.08	

	Additional Capital Funding	£ 696,000.00	£ 343,000.00	£ 353,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 1,969,659.00	£ 1,523,314.92	£ 446,344.08	22.7%
Neath Port Talbot (Pooling)	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 2,075,366.00	£ 1,904,571.00	£ 170,795.00	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,207,000.00	£ 32,120.00	£ 1,174,880.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 3,282,366.00	£ 1,936,691.00	£ 1,345,675.00	41.0%
Newport	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 2,192,301.00	£ 1,416,356.82	£ 775,944.18	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,232,000.00	£ -	£ 1,232,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 3,424,301.00	£ 1,416,356.82	£ 2,007,944.18	58.6%
Pembrokeshire	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,986,356.00	£ 1,983,268.53	£ 3,087.47	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,124,000.00	£ 1,124,000.00	£ -	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 3,110,356.00	£ 3,107,268.53	£ 3,087.47	0.1%
Powys	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 3,452,671.00	£ 3,082,643.00	£ 370,028.00	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,248,000.00	£ 74,553.00	£ 1,173,447.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 4,700,671.00	£ 3,157,196.00	£ 1,543,475.00	32.8%
Rhondda Cynon Taff	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 4,036,072.00	£ 3,757,027.69	£ 279,044.31	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 2,364,000.00	£ 178,000.00	£ 2,186,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 6,400,072.00	£ 3,935,027.69	£ 2,465,044.31	38.5%
Swansea	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 3,298,777.00	£ 2,955,295.00	£ 343,482.00	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,879,000.00	£ 366,000.00	£ 1,513,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 5,177,777.00	£ 3,321,295.00	£ 1,856,482.00	35.9%
Torfaen	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,508,436.00	£ 1,326,418.44	£ 182,017.56	

	Additional Capital Funding	£ 880,000.00	£ 237,000.00	£ 643,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 2,388,436.00	£ 1,563,418.44	£ 825,017.56	34.5%
Vale of Glamorgan (Pooling)	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,749,710.00	£ 2,283,604.00	-£ 533,894.00	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,068,000.00	£ 441,341.00	£ 626,659.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 2,817,710.00	£ 2,724,945.00	£ 92,765.00	3.3%
Wrexham	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 1,798,854.00	£ 1,730,590.00	£ 68,264.00	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 1,092,000.00	£ -	£ 1,092,000.00	
	LEA TOTAL	£ 2,890,854.00	£ 1,730,590.00	£ 1,160,264.00	40.1%
	New Deal for Schools up to 31 March 2001	£ 48,134,373.00	£ 38,948,323.89	£ 9,186,049.11	
	Additional Capital Funding	£ 26,800,000.00	£ 4,486,432.46	£ 22,313,567.54	
		£ 74,934,373.00	£ 43,434,756.35	£ 31,499,616.65	