

## Managing recreation on inland waters – a review of approaches

Presentation to the National Assembly for Wales Sustainability Committee 21 July 2009

#### John Powell Countryside and Community Research Institute www.ccri.ac.uk











### Overview

#### Two issues:

1. Access to water – ownership and use rights

2. Management of users



## Providing access to water: ownership and use rights

#### Traditional rights of use and access

- The European situation
- Wales

#### Statutory rights of use and access

Alternative approaches

## Creating rights of access: Statutory rights of navigation



#### Strengths

- Clarifies the legal position of users
- Avoids extensive negotiation with multiple landowners
- Potential on public land
- Potential for time limited rights

- Opposition from
- landowner and fishing interests
- Does not provide physical access to water
- May not deliver what canoeists want
- Increased bureaucracy
- Many stretches of river already being used



## Creating rights of access: Land reform

#### Strengths

- Improves current situation for landowners
- Clarifies responsibilities of users
- Contributes to 'Climb Higher' aims
- Influence behaviour lead to enhancement of countryside

- Requires legislative change
- Requires a code of conduct
- Does not solve access problems
- May result in more fencing of rivers
- Potentially strong landowner opposition



## Creating rights of access: Dedication of land

#### Strengths

- Landowner has some control over activities
- Landowner absolved of liability
- Some potential on public land
- Could be used to target 'hot spots'

- Very few benefits to landowner
- Potentially expensive
- May be conflict where fishing rights sold/leased
- 'patchwork' approach



### Creating rights of access: Statutory access agreements

#### Strengths

- Local authorities could designate specific areas
- Allows for enforcement
- Potential for addressing specific sites or 'hot spots' of activity

- 1949 Act access agreements require compensation
- Resource intensive only capable of addressing one river or section of a river at a time
- Local authorities lack resources

## Creating rights of access: Water access agreements

#### Strengths

- Can be tailored to specific areas
- Can be negotiated at a local level
- Longer term agreements can deliver benefits to owners and users
- Approach works well in the mountaineering community

#### Weaknesses

 Requires locally respected negotiator

countryside

- Short term agreements create uncertainty, do not encourage investment
- Large numbers of riparian owners
- Lack of trust between interests

## Management of users: zoning

- Time zoning
  - Protect sensitive areas
  - Prevent user conflicts
  - May increase participation
- Area zoning
  - Protect sensitive sites
  - Separates users
  - Requires active enforcement
  - Clarifies uses in each area



## Management of users: canoe trails



- Provides a focus for local partnerships
- Manage conflicts
- Manage sensitive sites
- Inform and educate the public
- Marketing likely to increase participation
- Can be designed at different skill levels
- Potential for local economic development

# Management of users: Countryside and countrysi

- Control number of users
- Conflict management
- Potential for appeals process where conflicts occur
- May discourage users
- Requires national approach
- Requires enforcement or 'self-policing'

## Management of users: fees and licences



- Support for restoration/improvement programmes
- Can pay for ranger/policing services
- Fee structure can be adjusted to favour certain activities/groups
- Licence/registration enables problem users to be identified
- Need to provide 'value for money'



### Management of users: management planning

- Enables partnership work
- Improves communication between interests
- Recreation incorporated into more holistic management plans
- Can deal with conflict from local to catchment level

## Discussion



- Rights and access
  - Potential to create a unique and innovative approach for Wales
  - Rights of use are limited without access
  - Institutional framework is important
- Managing users
  - One approach will not work everywhere
  - Requires a 'tool-box' of techniques
  - National/local/catchment options exist